Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 46-48

# *N*-Methylquinolinium Bis(6,7-dihydro-5*H*-1,4-dithiepine-2,3-dithiolato)nickelate(III)

Jing-Lin Zuo,<sup>a</sup> Ren-Gen Xiong,<sup>a</sup> Xiao-Zeng You<sup>a\*</sup> and Xiao-Ying Huang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Coordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Centre for Advanced Studies in Science and Technology of Microstructure, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Science, Fuzhou 350002, People's Republic of China

(Received 5 April 1995; accepted 19 July 1995)

# Abstract

In the title compound,  $(C_{10}H_{10}N)[Ni(C_5H_6S_4)_2]$ , there are two independent anions. In both, the central Ni atom lies on a  $\overline{1}$  centre and displays square-planar coordination. The shortest S...S contact between anions is 3.535 (2) Å.

## Comment

Since metal complexes of 4,5-dimercapto-1,3-dithiole-2-thionate (DMIT) were first reported to exhibit high conductivities, a massive research effort has centred on the design and synthesis of similar complexes (Cassoux et al., 1991). The multi-sulfur 1,2-dithiolene complexes  $[Ni(DDDT)_2]^{n-}$  (DDDT = 5,6-dihydro-1,4-dithiin-2,3-dithiolate) (Vance, Bereman, Bordner, Hatfield & Helms, 1985; Welch, Bereman & Singh, 1989) and  $[Ni(PDDDT)_2]$  (PDDT = 6,7-dihydro-5H-1,4dithiepine-2,3-dithiolate) (Bereman & Lu, 1993; Yao, You & Yang, 1994) have been synthesized and studied. It is believed that molecular interactions through sulfursulfur contacts form effective electrical conduction pathways in these crystal phases. In addition, the introduction of various organic countercations is of interest because of the possibilities of modifying the interactions between the anions (Cornelissen, Creyghton, de Graaf, Haasnoot & Reedijk, 1991). To investigate the effects of the cation on the crystal packing motif and properties, we prepared the title complex, (I), for comparison with the corresponding 2-(dimethylaminostyryl)pyridylmethyl salt, (II) (Zuo, Yao, Xu, You & Huang, 1995).



(I)

 $\bigcirc$ 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Crystals of (I) contain two independent anions, each with the Ni atom lying on a centre of symmetry surrounded by a square plane of four S atoms (Fig. 1). The average Ni—S, S— $C_{sp^2}$  and C=C bond lengths in the Ni(S<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> core of (I) [2.140 (2), 1.729 (5) and 1.354 (7) Å, respectively] agree well with the corresponding values [2.148 (1), 1.726 and 1.337 Å, respectively] in (II).

The four anions that comprise the contents of a single unit cell are each oriented in a different direction. In the *ab* face of the unit cell, Ni(1) atoms lie at the origin and Ni(2) atoms at the centre of each face; the same is true for the *ac* face. In both planes the adjacent anions are tilted in different directions. The closest  $S \cdots S$  contact between different anions is 3.535 (2) Å,



Fig. 1. Molecular structure showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. The unit-cell diagram of (I) looking down the a axis.

occurring between S(2) and S(7). It is shorter than the van der Waal's diameter of sulfur (3.70 Å). The shortest Ni $\cdots$ Ni distance is 9.013 (3) Å.

#### **Experimental**

An acetonitrile solution (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) of [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][Ni(PDDT)<sub>2</sub>] (Yao, You & Yang, 1994) (0.061 mmol) was mixed with an acetonitrile solution (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) of N-methylquinolinium iodide (0.061 mmol). Brown plate-shaped crystals were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature.

#### Crystal data

$(C_{10}H_{10}N)[Ni(C_5H_6S_4)_2]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 591.58$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 15.275(2) Å	$\theta = 8.57 - 14.86^{\circ}$
b = 9.588 (4) Å	$\mu = 1.441 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 17.717 (2) Å	T = 296  K
$\beta = 106.78(1)^{\circ}$	Plate
$V = 2484(1) Å^3$	$0.60 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	Brown
$D_x = 1.58 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	3495 observed reflections

diffractometer	$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0102$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$
$\psi$ scan (TEXSAN;	$h = 0 \rightarrow 18$
Molecular Structure	$k = -11 \rightarrow 0$
Corporation, 1985)	$l = -21 \rightarrow 21$
$T_{\min} = 0.858, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections
1.000	monitored every 300
4841 measured reflections	reflections
4682 independent reflections	intensity decay: 1.1%
Refinement	

#### Refinement

Refinement on F	$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$
R = 0.056	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$
wR = 0.068	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.28 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.78	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3495 reflections	Extinction correction: none
274 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
H-atom parameters not	from Cromer & Waber
refined	(1974)

2

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*a_i.a_j$$

	x	у	Ζ	Beq
Ni(1)	0	1/2	1/2	3.20 (4)
S(1)	-0.0736 (1)	0.6368 (1)	0.55519 (8)	3.92 (5)
S(2)	0.1216(1)	0.5250(1)	0.59706 (8)	3.47 (5)
S(3)	-0.0318 (1)	0.8355 (1)	0.68622 (8)	4.44 (6)
S(4)	0.1863 (1)	0.7121(1)	0.73400 (8)	4.23 (6)

C(1)	0.0072 (4)	0.6990 (5)	0.6383 (3)	3.4 (2)
C(2)	0.0941 (3)	0.6504 (5)	0.6562 (3)	3.3 (2)
C(3)	-0.0114 (5)	0.7766 (6)	0.7862 (3)	5.0 (3)
C(4)	0.0854 (5)	0.7915 (6)	0.8384 (3)	4.9 (3)
C(5)	0.1522 (4)	0.6868 (6)	0.8227 (3)	4.7 (2)
Ni(2)	1/2	1	1/2	3.31 (4)
S(5)	0.3837(1)	0.8668(1)	0.47980 (8)	3.82 (5)
S(6)	0.4517(1)	1.1270(1)	0.5786(1)	4.49 (6)
S(7)	0.2340(1)	0.8197 (1)	0.54873 (8)	4.18 (6)
S(8)	0.3101(1)	1.1019 (2)	0.6612(1)	5.20 (7)
C(6)	0.3245 (3)	0.9262 (5)	0.5425 (3)	3.3 (2)
C(7)	0.3549 (4)	1.0405 (5)	0.5869 (3)	3.8 (2)
C(8)	0.1359 (4)	0.9319 (7)	0.5256 (3)	5.2 (3)
C(9)	0.1262 (4)	1.0299 (7)	0.5913 (4)	5.0 (3)
C(10)	0.1948 (5)	1.1476 (7)	0.6096 (4)	6.1 (3)
N	0.3403 (4)	1.2471 (6)	0.8484 (3)	5.9 (3)
C(11)	0.2917 (6)	1.169(1)	0.8820 (5)	7.8 (4)
C(12)	0.3199 (7)	1.038(1)	0.9141 (6)	8.8 (5)
C(13)	0.4022 (7)	0.9939 (8)	0.9101 (5)	8.5 (4)
C(14)	0.5442 (5)	1.0246 (7)	0.8701 (5)	6.6 (3)
C(15)	0.5935 (5)	1.1082 (9)	0.8366 (5)	7.2 (4)
C(16)	0.5601 (6)	1.237 (1)	0.8070 (4)	7.0 (4)
C(17)	0.4786 (5)	1.2846 (7)	0.8102 (4)	5.8 (3)
C(18)	0.4262 (4)	1.2048 (6)	0.8443 (3)	4.3 (2)
C(19)	0.4568 (5)	1.0725 (7)	0.8760 (4)	5.4 (3)
C(20)	0.3042 (6)	1.3795 (7)	0.8141 (5)	8.3 (4)

# Table 2. Selected geometric parameters $(\mathring{A}, \circ)$

Ni(1)S(1)	2.141(1)	S(2)C(2)	1.725 (5)
Ni(1)—S(2)	2.150(1)	S(3)C(3)	1.799 (6)
S(1)-C(1)	1.731 (5)	S(4)C(5)	1.810 (6)
S(3)C(1)	1.757 (5)	C(3)C(4)	1.508 (9)
S(4)C(2)	1.764 (5)	Ni(2)S(5)	2.132 (1)
C(1)C(2)	1.357 (7)	Ni(2)S(6)	2.137 (1)
C(4)C(5)	1.514 (8)	S(5)C(6)	1.720 (5)
S(6)C(7)	1.738 (5)	S(7)C(6)	1.747 (5)
S(7)C(8)	1.794 (6)	S(8)C(7)	1.751 (5)
S(8)-C(10)	1.789 (7)	C(6)C(7)	1.350 (7)
C(8)—C(9)	1.536 (8)	C(9)C(10)	1.509 (9)
C(1)—S(1)—Ni(1)	105.1 (2)	S(1)Ni(1)S(2)	90.75 (5)
C(1)-S(3)-C(3)	104.8 (2)	C(2)—S(2)—Ni(1)	104.9 (2)
C(2)C(1)S(1)	119.3 (4)	C(2) - S(4) - C(5)	105.6 (3)
S(1)-C(1)-S(3)	114.2 (3)	C(2) - C(1) - S(3)	126.2 (4)
C(1)-C(2)-S(4)	125.0 (4)	C(1) - C(2) - S(2)	119.5 (4)
C(4)-C(3)-S(3)	115.8 (4)	S(2)-C(2)-S(4)	115.4 (3)
C(4)—C(5)—S(4)	116.7 (4)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	114.7 (5)
S(5)—Ni(2)—S(6)	91.33 (5)	C(6)—S(5)—Ni(2)	105.1 (2)
C(7)—S(6)—Ni(2)	104.2 (2)	C(6)—S(7)—C(8)	105.0 (3)
C(7)—S(8)—C(10)	103.9 (3)	C(7)—C(6)—S(5)	119.3 (4)
C(7)—C(6)—S(7)	125.6 (4)	S(5)—C(6)—S(7)	114.8 (3)
C(6)—C(7)—S(6)	119.7 (4)	C(6)—C(7)—S(8)	124.6 (4)
C(9)—C(8)—S(7)	116.8 (4)	C(9)—C(10)—S(8)	116.5 (5)
C(10)—C(9)—C(8)	114.1 (5)	S(6)—C(7)—S(8)	115.4 (3)

Data collection was performed using CONTROL software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1986). The structure was solved by direct methods using MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1983). The Ni atom was located in an E map and the remaining non-H atoms were located using DIRDIF (Beurskens, 1984). H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions with C-H = 0.95 Å, but were not included in the refinement. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-H atoms. All calculations were performed on a VAX3100 computer using the TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985) program package.

This work was supported by a grant for the Key Research Project from the State Science and Technology Commission and the National Nature Science Foundation of China.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, leastsquares-planes data, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1192). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bereman, R. D. & Lu, H. (1993). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 204, 53-61.
- Beurskens, P. T. (1984). DIRDIF. Direct Methods for Difference Structures – An Automatical Procedure for Phase Extension and Refinement of Difference Structure Factors. Technical Report 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Cassoux, P., Valade, L., Kobayashi, H., Kobayashi, A., Clark, R. A. & Underhill, A. E. (1991). Coord. Chem. Rev. 110, 115–160.
- Cornelissen, J. P., Creyghton, E. J., de Graaff, R. A. G., Haasnoot, J. G. & Reedijk, J. (1991). *Inorg Chim. Acta*, 185, 97–102.
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. IV, Tables 2.2A and 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL. Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structure from X-ray Data. Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1986). CONTROL. An Automatic Package for Rigaku AFC Single-Crystal Diffractometers. Revised 1988. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Vance, C. T., Bereman, R. D., Bordner, J., Hatfield, W. E. & Helms, J. H. (1985). *Inorg. Chem.* 24, 2905–2910.
- Welch, J. H., Bereman, R. D. & Singh, P. (1989). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 162, 89–96.
- Yao, T.-M., You, X.-Z. & Yang, Q.-C. (1994). Chin. J. Chem. 12, 248–257.
- Zuo, J.-L., Yao, T.-M., Xu, X.-X., You, X.-Z. & Huang, X.-Y. (1995). Polyhedron, 14, 483.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 48-50

# Cyanobis(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II) Tricyanomethanide Dihydrate

Ivan Potočňák, <sup>a</sup> Michal Dunaj-Jurčo, <sup>a</sup> Dušan Mikloš<sup>a</sup> and Lothar Jäger<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia, and <sup>b</sup>Fachbereich Chemie, Martin-Luther-University, Halle-Wittenberg, Weinbergweg 16, 06120 Halle/Saale, Germany

(Received 12 April 1995; accepted 26 June 1995)

### Abstract

The crystal structure of the title complex,  $[Cu(CN)-(C_{12}H_8N_2)_2][C(CN)_3].2H_2O$ , is formed by discrete  $[Cu(phen)_2(CN)]^+$  cations, tcm anions and uncoordi-

nated water molecules [phen = 1,10-phenanthroline, tcm = tricyanomethanide,  $C(CN)_3^-$ ]. The Cu atom is coordinated by four N atoms (from two phen molecules) and by one C atom (from the cyanide group in the equatorial plane) in the form of a distorted trigonal bipyramid.

#### Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared and its crystal structure solved as part of our study concerning changes in the shape of the  $[Cu(phen)_2X]^+$  cation  $(X^- = pseudohalide anion)$  caused by variation of the out-of-sphere anion.



Recently, we determined the structure of  $[Cu(phen)_2-(NCS)][C(CN)_3]$  with  $X^- = NCS^-$  (Potočňák, Dunaj-Jurčo, Mikloš & Jäger, 1996). The tcm anion did not enter the inner coordination sphere of the Cu atom, probably because of steric repulsion. Rather than the bulky NCS<sup>-</sup> anion, we investigated the smaller CN<sup>-</sup> group to find out whether the large tcm anion would coordinate to the Cu atom to form a six-coordinate species. The result is the title complex, (I), with a five-coordinate Cu atom.

Fig. 1 shows one formula unit with the atomic labelling scheme. The Cu atom is coordinated by four N atoms from two phen molecules and by one C atom from the  $CN^-$  group. The coordination polyhedron is in the form of a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the C atom in the equatorial plane. Neither the tcm anion nor the two water molecules enter the inner coordination sphere. The same [Cu(phen)<sub>2</sub>(CN)]<sup>+</sup> cation has been found in the structures of [Cu(phen)<sub>2</sub>(CN)]NO<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (Anderson, 1975) and [Cu<sup>II</sup>(phen)<sub>2</sub>(CN)][Cu<sup>I</sup>(phen)<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>2</sub>].5H<sub>2</sub>O (Dunaj-Jurčo *et al.*, 1993).

The two out-of-plane Cu—N bonds in (I) (Cu— N21 and Cu—N31) are practically of the same length (average 2.002 Å) and are almost collinear [N21— Cu—N31 =  $169.92(12)^{\circ}$ ]. The two in-plane Cu—N bonds (Cu—N11 and Cu—N41) are not significantly different in length either (average 2.106 Å) but they are longer than the out-of-plane Cu—N distances, on average by 0.104 Å. The third in-plane bond [Cu—